

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN

TUESDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF MAY 2020 / 22ND VAISAKHA, 1942

BA NO.2787 OF 2020

(Crime No.219/2020 of Varantharappilly Police Station, Thrissur Dt)

PETITIONER \ ACCUSED:

Chandran
Aged.56 Years, S/o.Velunni,
Thottathil House, Kunjakkara Desom,
Mupliyam Village, Thrissur District

BY ADV.SRI.V.VISAL AJAYAN

RESPONDENT \ STATE:

State of Kerala
Represented by Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Kerala,
Ernakulam.

By P.P. Sri.Ajith Murali & Santhosh Peter(Sr)

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
12.05.2020, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN, J

B.A.No.2787 of 2020

Dated this the 12th day of May, 2020

O R D E R

This Bail Application filed under Section 439 of Criminal Procedure Code was heard through Video Conference.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.219 of 2020 of Varantharapilly Police Station, Thrissur registered alleging offences punishable under Sections 8(1) and 8(2) and 55(g) of the Abkari Act.

3.The prosecution case is that the petitioner was found in possession of 500 ml of arrack along with utensils. The petitioner was arrested on 10.04.2020.

4. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that, the petitioner is in custody from 10.04.2020. He also submitted that even according to the prosecution, the petitioner was found in possession of only 500ml of arrack. He is ready to abide any condition, if this Court granting bail to him.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the bail application can be allowed on stringent conditions, considering the quantity of contraband seized from the petitioner.

6. After hearing the counsel for the petitioner and considering the submissions of the learned Public Prosecutor, I think this bail application can be allowed.

7. Moreover, considering the need to follow social distancing norms inside prisons so as to avert the spread of the novel Corona Virus Pandemic, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Re: Contagion of COVID-19 Virus In Prisons case (Suo Motu Writ Petition(C) No.1 of 2020)** and a Full Bench of this Court in **W.P(C)No.9400 of 2020** issued various salutary directions for minimizing the number of inmates inside prisons.

8. Moreover, it is a well accepted principle that the bail is the rule and the jail is the exception. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Chidambaram. P v Directorate of Enforcement (2019 (16) SCALE 870)**, after considering all the earlier judgments, observed that, the basic jurisprudence relating to bail remains the same inasmuch as the grant of bail is the rule

and refusal is the exception so as to ensure that the accused has the opportunity of securing fair trial.

9. Considering the dictum laid down in the above decision and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, this Bail Application is allowed with the following directions:

1. The Superintendent of Jail concerned where the petitioner is incarcerated is directed to release the petitioner on bail on executing a self bond ensuring that he will appear before the Court concerned as and when required, if he is not in detention in any other case. It is further directed that the Superintendent of Jail should get the bail bond from the petitioner as stipulated in Section 441 Cr.P.C.

2. The Superintendent of Jail concerned shall also get the phone number and the address at which the petitioner would be residing after his release.

3. The Superintendent of Jail concerned,

immediately after release of the petitioner will forthwith forward the bail bond obtained from the petitioner to the jurisdictional Court.

4. The petitioner immediately after release from the prison, report before the Station House Officer of the Jurisdictional Police Station and shall furnish his phone number and the place where he is going to reside. The Station House Officer concerned shall keep a vigil on the whereabouts of the petitioner and shall ensure that the petitioner does not violate the terms of the undertaking.

5. The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation and shall not threaten or attempt to influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence.

6. The petitioner shall within one week

from the commencement of the functioning of the jurisdictional court, if the court is not functioning at present, execute a bond for Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional Court.

7. The petitioner shall strictly abide by the various guidelines issued by the State Government and Central Government with respect to keeping of social distancing and other directions in the wake of declared lock-down.

8. If any of the above conditions are violated by the petitioner, the jurisdictional Court can cancel the bail in accordance with law, even though the bail is granted by this Court.

P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN, JUDGE